Trends Reshaping Radiology, and Why Some Deserve More Scrutiny Than Others

By: Nicole Jones-Gerbino, *PBS Radiology President*

Radiology is operating under sustained pressure. Reimbursement continues to decline. Workforce shortages are no longer isolated to certain markets or subspecialties. Hospital stipends, once considered a temporary bridge, are now underwriting access in many regions.

Against that backdrop, it has become harder to separate meaningful progress from well-packaged optimism. The industry is not short on ideas. It is short on capacity, margin, and tolerance for disruption that does not clearly pay off.

The trends shaping radiology today are less about what is new and more about what can survive financial constraint, staffing instability, and heightened scrutiny from hospital partners and payers.

Reimbursement Pressure Is No Longer a Headwind. It Is the Terrain.

Radiology reimbursement has been on a downward trajectory for years, but the cumulative effect is now reshaping behavior.

According to the **American College of Radiology**, Medicare physician payment rates have declined by more than **33 percent in inflation-adjusted dollars since 2000**, due to cuts to the conversion factor, with additional cuts to RVU values over the years. Commercial payers have followed similar patterns through fee compression, bundling, and more aggressive utilization controls.

This has changed the role of practice leadership. Reimbursement is no longer something reviewed monthly or delegated entirely to billing vendors. It is a daily strategic concern.

Groups that remain reactive are often negotiating from urgency, particularly when hospital support becomes necessary. Groups that approach reimbursement proactively, with clear denial data, utilization patterns, and cost transparency, enter those conversations differently.

Hospital stipends have become a structural component of the market. In many communities, professional reimbursement alone no longer sustains 24/7 coverage expectations, subspecialty

depth, or recruitment needs. It signals misalignment between expectations and payment, yet it doesn't have to mean failure.

The risk lies in approaching stipends without clarity. Without well-defined coverage requirements, performance metrics, and cost drivers, practices absorb scrutiny without leverage.

Hospital Partnerships Are Becoming More Financially Explicit

Hospitals are under their own pressure. Margin compression, labor costs, and value-based initiatives are forcing sharper evaluation of every contracted service line.

Radiology is no exception.

What has changed is the tone of these relationships. Longstanding contracts are being reexamined. Coverage expectations are expanding. Data requests are becoming more detailed. External benchmarking firms are more frequently involved.

This environment rewards practices that understand the hospital's strategy, not just their own operations. Health systems are prioritizing throughput, length of stay reduction, outpatient migration, and access preservation. Radiology groups that can demonstrate alignment with those goals, using measurable outcomes, are more likely to secure sustainable support.

Those that rely on history or goodwill are increasingly vulnerable. Imaging administrators are no longer simply managing operations. They are consistently translating clinical performance into business relevance.

Workforce Shortages Are Driving Adoption

Radiologist shortages remain uneven by geography and subspecialty, but their impact is widespread. According to the **Association of American Medical Colleges**, physician shortages overall are projected to worsen through 2036, and radiology continues to experience recruitment challenges across most markets.

Technologist shortages are even more acute. Imaging capacity is often constrained not by equipment, but by staffing. This reality changes how innovation lands.

Teams that are stretched thin have limited tolerance for perceived AI disruption. Tools that require retraining, parallel workflows, or additional oversight often fail, regardless of their promise. Adoption succeeds when change reduces cognitive load and simplifies decisions.

This is where skepticism is warranted. Many models, particularly in AI and workflow automation, are being positioned as workforce solutions without sufficient evidence that they reduce burnout or staffing burden over time.

Technology can help. It cannot compensate for chronic understaffing, unclear expectations, or poor communication. Practices that ignore this reality risk layering burdens onto already strained teams.

Artificial Intelligence Is Expected, but Proof Still Matters

AI has moved from experimental to expected. Hospital partners increasingly ask about AI strategy. Vendors continue to expand offerings across detection, triage, reporting, and operations.

What remains inconsistent is durable value.

The most effective implementations can also be narrow and intentional. Clear problem definition. Limited scope. Strong governance. Integration into existing workflows.

Where AI is treated as a cure-all, results disappoint. Broken workflows remain broken. Alert fatigue increases. Adoption stalls.

This is not a rejection of AI. It is a call for discipline. Radiology does not benefit from being first. It benefits from being right.

Imaging Is Expanding Into Risk Identification, With Operational Consequences

Advances in CT technology, dual-energy applications, and opportunistic imaging are allowing radiologists to surface insights beyond the original indication. Cardiovascular risk, bone density, and metabolic markers are increasingly visible.

Clinically, this expands radiology's role. Operationally, it complicates it.

Who owns follow-up. How findings are documented. How patients are informed. How value is articulated to payers and hospital partners.

Without defined pathways, these insights create additional responsibility without corresponding support. Reimbursement policies are still catching up for most AI functionality. Practices that engage early in shaping documentation standards, referral workflows, and communication strategies are better positioned to convert clinical insight into recognized value.

Those that do not risk absorbing work without reimbursement or acknowledgment.

Data Integrity Is Quietly Becoming the Deciding Factor

Across every trend, one factor is key to success or failure: data.

Reimbursement strategy depends on accurate payment variance analysis. Hospital negotiations depend on credible coverage, revenue, and performance metrics. AI depends on clean inputs. Workforce planning depends on reliable productivity and demand data.

Integrated, well-governed data allows leaders to act earlier and with confidence.

This work is rarely visible. It is also foundational.

What This Means for Radiology Leadership

The dominant trend in radiology today is not acceleration. It is selectivity.

Leaders are being asked to evaluate more options under tighter constraints. The groups that remain stable are not chasing every promise. They are asking harder questions, aligning innovation with reimbursement reality, and accounting for workforce capacity before committing to change.

Advocacy is no longer optional. It is embedded in daily leadership, communication, and strategy.

Radiology will continue to evolve. The practices that endure will be those that remain clear about what strengthens their teams and their position.

Sources

- American College of Radiology. *Medicare Physician Payment and Imaging Trends*.
- Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC). *Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy*.
- Association of American Medical Colleges. *Physician Workforce Projections*.